

## REMARKS

Reconsideration of this application is respectfully requested.

Claims 1 and 4-6 of this application were examined. Claims 1 and 4 were rejected on the basis of prior art and claims 5 and 6 were objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim.

Claims 1 and 4-6 were also rejected for indefiniteness under 35 USC §112. Amendments have been made to claims 4, 5 and 6 as suggested by the examiner, and include relocation of the “blade elements” description in the claims to follow the description of the “radially shaped elements”. It is believed that such amendments render said claims in conformity with the requirements of 35 USC §112. Such amendments are also submitted to be fully supported by the specification and claims as filed in the application.

To expedite prosecution of this application applicants have rewritten the objected to dependent claim 5 in independent form and cancelled the independent claim 1. Claim 5 is thus understood to be allowable and allowance thereof is respectfully requested.

Claims 4 and 6 have been amended to directly or indirectly depend on the allowable claim 5. Claims 4 and 6 are thus submitted as allowable for the reasons supporting allowance of claim 5. Allowance of claims 4 and 6 is thus respectfully requested.

In view of the foregoing remarks and amendments it is submitted that this application is in condition for allowance and allowance thereof is respectfully requested.

Dated: December 11, 2009

RODMAN & RODMAN  
10 Stewart Place – Suite 2CE  
White Plains, New York 10603

Respectfully submitted,  
/Philip Rodman/  
Philip Rodman, Reg. No. 25,704  
Attorney for Applicants

Telephone: (914) 949-7210  
Facsimile: (914) 993-0668